



Lubin Austermuehle DiTommaso, PC

BUSINESS LITIGATION ATTORNEYS

James V. DiTommaso

17W220 22nd Street, Suite 410

Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181

(630) 333-0000

james@l-a.law

EDUCATION

Chicago-Kent College of Law, Chicago, IL

Juris Doctor, May 2017

J.D. Certificate in Business Law

Chicago-Kent College of Law Deans Honor List

The Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY

Bachelor of Fine Arts, May 2011

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

Admitted to Illinois Bar, 2018

Admitted to U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2018

Admitted to U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, 2018

Admitted to U.S. District Court for the Central District of Illinois, 2023

Member, Illinois State Bar Association

Member, DuPage County Bar Association

Member, Justinian Society of Lawyers DuPage County Chapter

HONORS, AWARDS, AND APPOINTMENTS

2015 Recipient of the Morici-LoBello Family Scholarship

2016 Recipient of the Justinian Society of Lawyers Endowment Scholarship

2L and 3L Representative for the Chicago-Kent Justinian Society of Law Students

Judicial Extern to the Honorable Thomas E. Hoffman, Illinois Appellate Court, First

District, Sixth Division

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

Commercial & Intellectual Property Litigation

Bell v. STA Enterprises, Inc., Circuit Court of Kane County, 2018-2019. Judge Susan Boles. Defended corporation and CEO of a roofing company for an alleged new roof installation that was allegedly defective. The case settled on very favorable terms. Opposing Counsel: Jean Francissen (Dickson, Francissen & Bartz, LLP).

Berg v. Nexus Risk Management, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2015-2017. Judge Charles P. Kocoras. Defended one of Canada's largest investment fund companies against claims of alleged copyright infringement and trade secret misappropriation in connection with the development of risk management software. Won summary judgment for client and succeeded in having plaintiff's expert rejected by court and expert report stricken. Opposing Counsel: Michael Childress (Childress Loucks & Plunkett).

Berna v. John Doe Taxi Company, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2023-2024. Judge Angelo Kappas. Represented Individual with Taxi Medallions and alleged breach of contract against the Dispatcher and their alleged non-payment of monies due to the individual. Case settled on very favorable confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Steven Bonanno (Hinshaw & Culbertson LLP).

ConsultADD, Inc v. John Doe Corporation, American Arbitration Association, 2018. Defended the corporation who had a sub-contractor agreement with a corporation who provided an IT employee for an open position with another corporation. The employee abruptly quit the job and it resulted in the corporation not being paid for the alleged work the employee did. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client. Opposing Counsel: Patrick Papalia (Archer & Greiner, P.C.).

ConsultADD, Inc v. John Doe Corporation, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2018. Drafted a demand letter for breach of contract to John Doe Corporation. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client before the filing of the lawsuit. Opposing Counsel: Cathe R. Evans Williams (The Evans Williams Law Group, LLC).

Converged Communication Systems, LLC v. Gelski, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2017-2018. Judge Thaddeus Machnik. Represented Corporation who accidentally paid a former employee for over a year after his employment was terminated. Won the case on summary judgment for the client. Opposing Counsel: Benjamin A. Weinberg.

Dockside Marine, Inc. v. John Doe Franchisor, American Arbitration Association, 2024. Represented owner of pontoon boat, snowmobile, and ATV franchise that had its franchise terminated by the manufacturer. The manufacturer allegedly refused to repurchase the unsold inventory after terminating the franchise. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client. Opposing Counsel: Brandon Prosansky (Barrack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP).

Executive Financial Enterprises Inc. v. Webmart247 LLC, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2024. Judge Kenneth Popejoy. Represented corporation and third-party citation respondent for alleged debt owed for alleged shipping services. Case settled on very favorable terms for the clients. Opposing Counsel: David Mauer and Ari Madoff (Mauer & Madoff LLC).

First State Bank of Mendota v. Hagos, Circuit Court of McLean County, 2019. Judge Paul Lawrence. Defended potential purchaser of a parcel of land for a possible Dunkin Donuts that was determined to not be feasible by the Franchisor. Case settled on very favorable terms after filing of a 2-615 Motion to Dismiss. Opposing Counsel: Jack C. Vieley.

Lakhani v. American Powder Coatings, Inc., Circuit Court of Cook County, 2017-2018. Judge James Murphy. Represented one-third owner of a closely held corporation. It was alleged that the majority owners improperly held assets, received excessive compensation, and refused to make distributions to the shareholders. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client. Opposing Counsel: John McGuirk (Hoscheit, McGuirk, McCracken & Cuscaden, P.C.); Michael Trucco (Stamos & Trucco LLP).

Leone's Grain and Supply v. John Doe Franchisor, American Arbitration Association, 2017. Represented owner of snowmobile and ATV franchise that had its franchise terminated by the manufacturer. The manufacturer allegedly refused to repurchase the unsold inventory after terminating the franchise. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client. Opposing Counsel: Brandon Prosansky (Barrack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP).

Loves Park Motorsports, Inc. v. John Doe Franchisor, American Arbitration Association, 2024. Represented owner of pontoon boat, snowmobile, and ATV franchise that had its franchise terminated by the manufacturer. The manufacturer allegedly refused to repurchase the unsold inventory after terminating the franchise. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client. Opposing Counsel: Brandon Prosansky (Barrack Ferrazzano Kirschbaum & Nagelberg LLP).

Minimally Invasive Therapy Specialists, P.C. v. Health and Home Management, Inc., American Arbitration Association, 2017-2018. Represented corporation that provides diagnostic and medical professional services to nursing homes. Respondent refused to pay the invoices for the medical services that were provided to the nursing home patients. Achieved a six-figure consent order in favor of the client. Opposing Counsel: Brian Stines (Vanek, Larson & Kolb, LLC).

New Nation Anointed Ministries v. Cox, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2019-2021. Judge Daniel Kubasiak. Defended non-profit church entity and its board from an alleged hostile takeover and resulting litigation from one of its ministers. The case settled on very favorable terms for the clients. Opposing Counsel: Amos Smith (Smith Law Firm).

Palmer v. Lowes Companies Inc., American Arbitration Association, 2019-2020. Represented homeowners who contracted with a large corporation to remodel their kitchen. The kitchen remodel allegedly was never complete nor was it to the letter of the plans for the remodel. The case settled on very favorable terms for the clients. Opposing Counsel: Daniel Cetina (Lewis Brisbois).

Siddiqui v. Crestview Builders, Inc., Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2017-2019, Judge Bonnie Wheaton. Represented homeowners of a newly constructed home that allegedly was not built to specifications per the agreement and allegedly had numerous flaws throughout the entirety of the newly built home. The case settled on very favorable terms for the clients. Opposing Counsel:

Charles Corrigan (Dommermuth, Cobine, West, Gensler, Philipchuck, Corrigan & Bernhard Ltd.).

Super Legit, LLC v. Pullman Porter LLC, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2019-2020. Judge Robert Gettleman. Represented corporation and managing member in copyright infringement, defamation, and breach of contract against former promotional company after an event that allegedly did not happen as anticipated. Received a default judgment against the Defendants for an injunction, costs, and fees.

Taylor v. Priority Truck Center, Inc., Circuit Court of Kane County, 2024, Judge Elizabeth Flood. Represented individual in replevin, detinue, trespass to personal property, breach of contract, and quantum meruit against tow truck company for alleged faulty repairs to client's vehicle and then alleged improperly keeping possession of the vehicle. Received a default judgment against the Defendant for damages, cost, and fees.

Weil v. Fuller, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2017-2018. Judge Kenneth Popejoy. Defended the individuals of a dissolved corporation in an alleged failed deal for a new restaurant venture with its alleged former business associate. The case settled on favorable terms for the clients. Opposing Counsel: Ted Donner (Donner & Company Law Offices, LLC).

Class Action Litigation

Ademoye v. Austin Highland Development Company, Inc., Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2016-2018. Judge Kenneth Popejoy. Represented class in action alleging violation of the Illinois Security Deposit Return Act in connection with deductions to security deposit made by management company. After defeating motions to dismiss and for summary judgment, successfully obtained certification of settlement class for full refund of allegedly improper security deposit deductions. Opposing Counsel: Reese J. Peck (Rathje & Woodward, LLC).

Cinta v. Life Alert Emergency Response, Inc., Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2019. Judge David Schwartz. Represented class in action alleging violation of the Automatic Contract Renewal Act and the Consumer Fraud Act in connection with automatically renewing service contracts for emergency response services. Case settled on favorable terms and on an individual basis. Opposing Counsel: Arthur F. Radke (Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP).

George v. Mercedes-Benz USA, Circuit Court of Kane County, 2017-2019. Judge Susan Clancy Boles. Represented class in action alleging that certain Smartcar vehicle's gas-gauges do not work properly and do not represent the actual amount of gasoline in the fuel tank. The case settled on favorable terms and on an individual basis. Opposing Counsel: Garrett Boehm, Brian Langs (Johnson & Bell LTD).

Liewald v. Country Financial Insurance, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2016-2019. Judge Kenneth Popejoy. Represented class in action alleging breach of contract and consumer fraud in connection with medical expense benefits that the insurance company allegedly failed to pay on behalf of the class. The case settled on favorable terms and on an individual basis. Opposing Counsel: David Lubben, Paul Burmeister (Davis & Campbell, LLC); William Kelly III, Chanda Feldkamp (Kelly & Walker, LLC).

Yarbrough v. DuPage County Clerk, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2017-2018. Judge Brian McKillip. Represented class in an action alleging the DuPage County Clerk improperly charged and collected filing fees for certain pleadings that it is not authorized to charge under Illinois law. After defeating a motion to dismiss, successfully obtained certification of a settlement class for a full refund of the allegedly improperly charged fees. Opposing Counsel: Gregory Vaci (DuPage County Assistant States Attorney).

Class Action Defense

Music v. Beta Electric, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2014-2016. Judge Patrick Sherlock. Represented defendant company and its owner against putative class action. Defeated class action by successfully picking-off putative representative. Case settled on an individual basis. Opposing Counsel: Ernest T. Rossiello (Ernest T. Rossiello & Associates).

Stingley v. Laci Transport Inc., United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2018-2019. Judge Sara Ellis. Represented defendant company and CEO against putative class action regarding state and federal wage claims. Case settled on favorable terms. Opposing Counsel: John Billhorn (Billhorn Law Firm).

Family Law

In Re Marriage of Buell, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2018-2019, Judge Linda Davenport. Represented client in petition for rule to show cause against former wife to comply with the Court's order with respect to care for their child that has special needs. Case settled on very favorable terms. Opposing counsel: Deborah Carder (Carder Law Firm P.C.).

In Re Marriage of Fermon, Circuit Court of Kane County, 2018. Judge Joseph Grady. Represented father in defense of a Petition for Contribution of Post-Secondary Educational Expenses under 750 ILCS § 5/513. Case settled on very favorable terms. Opposing Counsel: Robin Zandri (Goosetree Law Group, P.C.).

In Re Marriage of Maze, Circuit Court of Lake County, 2018-2019. Judge Joseph Salvi. Limited scope representation of client in an emergency motion for the sale of her corporations. Opposing Counsel: Joseph McKeown (McKeown Law, P.C.).

In Re Marriage of Ward, Circuit Court of Will County, 2018-2019. Judge Dinah L. Archambeault. Represented the father in defense of a Petition for Contribution for Contribution of Post-Secondary Educational Expenses under 750 ILCS § 5/513. Case settled on very favorable terms. Opposing Counsel: Jennifer Wood (Wood Law, P.C.).

Yakich v. Aulds, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2015-2018. Judge Thomas A. Else. Represented the biological father of adult child who did not marry the mother. Mother of adult child brought an action for the biological father to contribute to the college expenses. Court first ordered that the Father was required to pay for the adult child's college expenses under 750 ILCS § 5/513. The Court then decided that Section 513 is unconstitutional as it violates the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution because it treats children of married and

unmarried parents different. Opposing Counsel: William J. Arendt (William J. Arendt & Associates, P.C.).

Yakich v. Aulds, Illinois Supreme Court, 2018-2019. Represented the biological father of adult child who did not marry the mother. Defended the father that 750 ILCS § 5/513 is unconstitutional as it violates the Equal Protection Clause of the United States Constitution because it treats children of married and unmarried parents different. Opposing Counsel: Todd Scalzo (Mirabella Kincaid Frederick & Mirabella, LLC); Michael Scalzo (Scalzo Law Offices).

In Re Marriage of Zejer, Circuit Court of Lake County, 2018-2020. Judge Raymond Collins. Represent third-party who alleges her constitutional rights were violated by an overreaching and harassing subpoena. The court granted a motion to quash and a motion for protective order in favor of the client. Opposing Counsel: Michael Weiman (Weiman Silberman, LLC).

Non-compete Agreements and Trade Secret

Paul Joseph Salon & Spa Inc. v. Yeske, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2017. Judge Robert Rohm. Represented Salon that an employee departed from and started a competing business with the Salon's clients in violation of a non-compete agreement. Granted a Temporary Restraining Order by the Circuit Court and won the appeal of the Order in the Appellate Court. Then, successfully achieved a permanent injunction against the former employee. Opposing Counsel: James R. Griffin (Schain Banks Kenny & Schwartz, Ltd.).

Defamation and First Amendment

Bradlow v. Child Trends, Inc., Circuit Court of Cook County, 2024. Judge Michael Barrett. Represented corporation in alleged defamation lawsuit against it and their employee. Filed motion to dismiss, sparking Judge to dismiss entire lawsuit for plaintiff's want of prosecution.

Caputi v. Davis, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2024-2025. Judge Maureen O. Hannon. Represented Defendant who is a teacher at a prominent Chicago high school. Client was accused of making alleged defamatory remarks. On motion to dismiss had multiple plaintiffs dismissed with prejudice, multiple claims dismissed with prejudice, which ultimately lead to the remaining Plaintiffs dismissing their entire lawsuit. Opposing Counsel: Kyle Serilla (Mudd Law).

James Doe v. Jane Doe. 2024. Drafted cease-and-desist take down letter to individual who posted a defamatory social media posting along with defamatory comments on the posting. Jane Doe agreed to delete the defamatory postings and confidentially apologized for her remarks. Opposing Counsel: Vincent Indeglia (Indeglia & Associates).

James Doe v. John Doe Organization. 2024. Drafted cease-and-desist letter to professional organization on behalf of James Doe in connection with a grievance investigation and hearing. After multiple letters with the John Doe Organization the board of the professional organization decided to take no action against James Doe and granted him his full rights and clearance to proceed with the professional organization. Opposing Counsel: Patricia Hines Esq.

Michael Doe v. John Doe. 2024. Drafted cease-and-desist take down letter to individual who

allegedly made multiple social media postings and comments to third parties that defamed client who is in the fitness industry. John Doe removed all his defamatory posts and comments, deleted his social media accounts, and sent an apology to client.

William Doe v. John Doe Blog Company. 2024. Drafted cease-and-desist take down letter to remove multiple defamatory blog posts. The owner of the blog removed the articles and sent an apology letter.

William Doe v. John Doe Aggregate News Company. 2025. Drafted cease-and-desist take down letter to remove multiple defamatory postings. The owner of the website removed the defamatory articles from the website.

William Doe v. John Doe Major News Corporation. 2024. Drafted cease-and-desist take down letter to remove defamatory news article. Major News Corporation agreed to remove client's name from the article and delist the article from search engines. Opposing Counsel: David Korzenik (Miller Korzenik Sommers Rayman LLP).

Auto Fraud Litigation

Aldis v. John Doe Car Dealership, Better Business Bureau of Chicago, 2018. Clients purchased a Lexus which, unbeknownst to them, allegedly had severe water damage. Case settled on confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: James Stark.

Artter Company, Inc. v. John Doe Car Manufacturer, Drafted a demand letter for breach of warranty to John Doe Car Manufacturer. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client before the filing of the lawsuit. Opposing Counsel: Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC.

Carocci v. John Doe Car Manufacturer, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2019. Drafted a demand letter for a breach of warranty claim to John Doe Car Manufacturer. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client before the filing of the lawsuit. Opposing Counsel: Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC.

Dwyer v. Ownershield, Inc., American Arbitration Association, 2018. The client purchased a used BMW and a vehicle service agreement. The BMW had total engine failure, so she filed a claim for the engine to be fixed. The service agreement provider denied the claim alleging the engine is not covered by the agreement. The case was settled on very favorable terms. Opposing Counsel: Brian Bedinghaus (Roetzel & Andress LPA).

Filger v. Denic, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2023. Judge Sara Ellis. Client paid vintage vehicle shop to rebuild a Chevelle, allegedly after tendering the monies to the vehicle shop the Chevelle was allegedly never worked on. Case settled on very favorable terms.

Forcier v. John Doe Car Dealership, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2024. Judge Angelo Kappas. Represented purchaser of a classic car that allegedly had a rusted frame, was dangerous to drive, and has mechanical, structural, and fit and finish flaws. Case settled on very favorable confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Richard J. Miller (The Miller law Firm P.C.).

Freeman v. Infiniti of Clarendon Hills, Inc., American Arbitration Association, 2018-2020. Client purchased a certified pre-owned high-end Infiniti and made her purchase without seeing the vehicle in-person. The vehicle allegedly is a re-built wreck with frame damage among other mechanical flaws. Case settled on favorable terms. Opposing counsel: Mark Scarlato (Fornaro Law).

Gallego v. John Doe Car Manufacturer, American Arbitration Association, 2018. The client leased a new Ford Focus and purchased a vehicle service agreement. The Focus allegedly has an unrepairable transmission shudder and other manufacturer defects that could not be repaired. Case settled on favorable terms. Opposing counsel: Edward Fu (Donohue, Brown, Mathewson & Smyth, LLC).

Graham v. John Doe Car Dealership, American Arbitration Association, 2024. Represented buyer in arbitration against dealership alleging that the dealer improperly sold a used car as a new car and as a result the vehicle had the manufacturer's warranty voided. Case settled on very favorable terms. Opposing counsel: Mark J. McClenathan (Heyl, Royster, Volker & Allen, P.C.).

Hoover v. John Doe Car Dealership, American Arbitration Association, 2017. Represented buyers in arbitration against dealership alleging that dealer improperly sold a used car as a new car and the vehicle allegedly had frame damage. Case settled on favorable terms. Opposing counsel: Edward Fu (Donohue, Brown, Mathewson & Smyth, LLC).

Ismaili v. Oswego Motors, 2023-2024. Represented buyer of a Mazda CX-5 that allegedly had mechanical and fit and finish issues. The parties settled the dispute prior to initiating litigation and on very favorable terms for the client. Opposing Counsel: Mark Lyman (Lyman Law Firm).

Jones v. John Doe Car Dealership, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2019. Drafted a demand letter for alleged consumer fraud for a Tesla that allegedly had frame damage to John Doe Car Dealer. The case settled on very favorable terms for the client before the filing of the lawsuit.

Kennard v. John Doe Car Dealership, American Arbitration Association, 2017. Represented car buyer in arbitration alleging that a car dealer violated the Illinois Consumer Fraud Act in connection with the sale of a Mercedes-Benz with significant accident damage. Parties settled the dispute with buyer returning the vehicle and receiving the full purchase price back along with her attorney's fees and costs. Opposing Counsel: Antonio DeBlasio (DeBlasio Gower).

Lehman v. John Doe Car Dealership, American Arbitration Association, 2024-2025. Arbitrator Carol Coplan Babbitt. Represented car buyer of an Audi R8 that allegedly was in a frontal collision causing damage to the front bumper, headlight, and headlight assembly that allegedly was not disclosed to the client prior to his purchase of the vehicle. Case settled on very favorable terms for the client. Opposing Counsel: Christopher Dunsing (Langhenry, Gillen, Lundquist & Johnson, LLC).

Leprino v. John Doe Car Dealership, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2024. Judge LaShonda A. Hunt. Represented car buyer of an alleged 4Runner that allegedly was dangerous to drive and is a rebuilt wreck. Case settled on very favorable terms for

the client. Opposing Counsel: T. Paul S. Chawla and Jeffrey M. Pelton (Oak Brook Legal P.C.).

Marrero v. Appel, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2018-2019. Judge Jeffrey Chrones. Represented defendant who sold a rare motorcycle that allegedly had repairs that was unbeknownst to the seller. Case settled on very favorable terms. Opposing Counsel: Arnold Landis (Law Offices of Arnold H. Landis).

Mazzafarro v. Foresti Auto Sales, Inc., Circuit Court of Cook County, 2019. Judge Jeffrey Chrones. Represented purchaser of a Mercedes-Benz that allegedly had the odometer rolled-back and had other undisclosed mechanical flaws. Default judgment awarded against the Defendants.

Purcell v. John Doe Car Dealership, American Arbitration Association, 2024. Represented purchaser of a classic car that allegedly had a rusted frame, was dangerous to drive, and has mechanical, structural, and fit and finish flaws. Case settled on very favorable confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Richard J. Miller (The Miller law Firm P.C.).

Seleskie v. John Doe Car Dealership, United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2024-2025. Judge Jeremy Daniel. Represented client who allegedly purchased a Honda Ridgeline that allegedly was misrepresented and concealed to be a dangerous rebuilt wreck with substandard repairs. Case settled on very favorable confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Adam Goodman (Goodman, Tovrov, Hardy & Johnson LLC).

Spooner v. John Doe Corporation, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2024. Judge David Schwartz. Client alleged that the like new vehicle that she purchased was an undisclosed rebuilt wreck and it was unsafe to drive. Case settled on confidential terms prior to the defendant corporation filing any pleadings. Opposing Counsel: Edward Rothschild.

Spyksma v. John Doe Corporation, United States District Court for the Central District of Illinois (transferred to Southern District of Illinois), 2023. Client purchased a new vehicle trailer but unbeknownst to him, the trailer allegedly had numerous structural issues and alleged failed welds. Case settled on very favorable confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Matthew Champlin (Hepler Broom LLC).

Shah v. John Doe Car Dealership, Circuit Court of Cook County, 2023-2024. Judge Anthony Swanagan. Represented client in alleged breach of contract against vehicle dealership following the sale of a Tesla to the dealership. Case settled on very favorable confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Eric Check (The Law Offices of Eric H. Check).

Smith v. Infiniteltech Auto Service LLC, Circuit Court of DuPage County, 2024. Judge Thomas Else. Defended vehicle dealership in alleged breach of contract lawsuit stemming from alleged vehicle purchase. Had the lawsuit dismissed for want of prosecution twice and the entire lawsuit was dismissed with prejudice.

Twyman v. S & M Auto Brokers, Inc., United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, 2016-2018. Judge Virginia Kendall. Represented client who purchased a luxury vehicle that allegedly had undisclosed structural damage and allegedly was a rebuilt wreck. Case settled on confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Joel Brodsky (Law Office of Joel A. Brodsky).

Zeglen v. John Doe Car Dealership, Better Business Bureau of Chicago, 2017-2018. Client purchased a Maserati which, unbeknownst to him allegedly was a rebuilt wreck purchased at auction. Case settled on confidential terms. Opposing Counsel: Mark Lyman, Steve Varhola, Jason Christopoulos (The Lyman Law Firm).